Hungary's NATO Subsidies, Education Exploitation, and Democratic Backsliding: Ethical and Strategic Fault Lines

Author: Siddhartha Harsh Wardhan

Affiliation: EM14 – Faculty of Medicine, Semmelweis University

Email: swardhan1@icloud.com

Scan the ORCID QR code below to access the author's directory of published papers:

Abstract

Hungary, a NATO ally and EU member, receives approximately $1.2 billion annually in Western defense subsidies. At the same time, it exploits foreign students through discriminatory academic practices and tuition-driven attrition, while eroding human rights protections and aligning geopolitically with China and Russia. Transparency International ranks Hungary as the most corrupt EU state, while recent laws have curtailed LGBTQ+ rights, silenced NGOs, and consolidated media control. This paper analyzes the contradiction: Western taxpayers subsidize Hungarian defense while Hungary undermines democracy and profits from international students. The findings highlight systemic risks and call for conditional NATO/EU funding linked to governance, educational integrity, and human rights compliance.

1. Introduction

Hungary presents a paradox within the Euro-Atlantic order. On paper, it is a democracy, a member of both the European Union and NATO, and a state that proclaims adherence to collective Western values. Yet, in practice, Hungary has increasingly drifted toward an illiberal model that undermines the very institutions it benefits from. The contradiction between symbolic adherence and substantive divergence is stark: Hungary receives billions of dollars in subsidies, defense support, and structural funds from its

ORCID: 0009-0005-4228-1124

August 25, 2025,

allies, while simultaneously curtailing civil rights, eroding rule-of-law standards, and fostering systemic corruption.

The international community has often celebrated Hungarian contributions to global projects, including symbolic achievements such as participation in the International Space Station program. These accomplishments project an image of modernity and integration. However, beneath these symbolic gestures lies a systematic pattern of exploitation, particularly in higher education. International students are recruited under the promise of high-quality, European-standard training, yet they encounter overcrowded classrooms, discriminatory policies, deficient resources, and structural barriers that make success unlikely. This duality—celebrating symbolic success abroad while undermining fundamental fairness at home—is emblematic of Hungary's broader trajectory.

This paper situates Hungary's current trajectory within the broader context of European democratic backsliding. The central research question is this: How can NATO and EU institutions continue to justify unconditional subsidies to Hungary while the country simultaneously undermines shared democratic values? Through an examination of educational exploitation, human rights regression, systemic corruption, and geopolitical alignment with authoritarian regimes, this study argues that Hungary represents a case study in the contradictions of Western integration.

2. Evidence of Exploitation in Higher Education

Hungary's higher education system, especially in the medical field, relies heavily on tuition from international students. Semmelweis University, the leading medical school, charges between $15,000 and $20,000 annually. These fees are mainly imposed on non-Hungarian students, while local students—particularly those in state-funded programs—pay little to nothing or symbolic amounts. This dual pricing system has created a split system where international students are mainly seen as revenue sources, often without receiving educational conditions comparable to those of their Hungarian peers.

Peer-reviewed evidence supports this. A **2022 study (Pusztai et al.)** revealed that nearly **67.5% of international medical students failed to graduate**, compared to only ~20% of Hungarian students. The attrition gap is not accidental; it reflects systemic barriers embedded in the structure of the programs themselves. The study highlighted that tuition-paying students often accumulate credits more slowly, face higher risks of exclusion, and encounter institutional indifference when raising legitimate concerns. These findings align with the lived experiences of whistleblowers and student testimonies submitted to Hungarian and EU authorities.

Compounding this attrition crisis are deficiencies in educational resources. Photographic documentation of anatomy specimens, collected during the 2024–2025 academic year, revealed serious shortcomings: missing cranial nerves, collapsed tissues,

ORCID: 0009-0005-4228-1124

mislabeled arteries, and oblique dissection planes. Such deficiencies undermine the validity of assessments, as students are tested on defective materials that prevent accurate identification. These conditions are inconsistent with European Standards and Guidelines (ESG 1.3 and 1.6), which mandate fair assessment and adequate resources for learning. Yet they remain routine in Hungarian anatomy labs.

Beyond material conditions, discriminatory enforcement of FM/CV registration rules further compounds inequities. Students in Biophysics and Biochemistry with FM status were permitted access to labs, while anatomy students were selectively excluded. This inconsistency—documented in Ombudsman filings—demonstrates how unwritten rules and arbitrary enforcement become tools of obstruction. It also reveals the systemic nature of the exploitation: the policies are not applied uniformly, but selectively weaponized against vulnerable student populations.

3. Democratic Regression and Human Rights Violations

Hungary's domestic governance amplifies the contradictions exposed in higher education. Transparency International consistently ranks Hungary as the most corrupt state in the European Union. Public procurement scandals—such as the notorious Elios case involving Prime Minister Orbán's son-in-law—have demonstrated the impunity with which elites benefit from EU funds. These cases undermine public trust while exposing how structural funds are diverted for private enrichment rather than public service.

Equally concerning is the capture of the media. Since 2018, the creation of KESMA (Central European Press and Media Foundation) has consolidated approximately 90% of Hungarian media under pro-government control. This concentration has virtually eliminated the independent press in rural regions, silencing dissent and ensuring that narratives critical of government policy are marginalized. The chilling effect extends beyond politics; critical reporting on universities and corruption in education is routinely absent from national media.

The suppression of civil society has accelerated through legislation such as the Sovereignty Protection Act and the creation of the Sovereignty Protection Office. These bodies target NGOs and journalists deemed to be "foreign-influenced," stigmatizing them as national security threats. This approach mirrors Russian-style foreign agent laws and directly undermines Hungary's obligations under the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights.

Perhaps most alarming is Hungary's regression on LGBTQ rights. In 2021, a so-called "child protection" law effectively banned positive representations of LGBTQ identities to minors, drawing condemnation from the EU and international human rights groups. By 2025, restrictions had escalated into outright bans on Pride events, the deployment of facial-recognition surveillance against participants, and the imposition of fines and penalties on organizers. These measures not only violate EU non-discrimination

ORCID: 0009-0005-4228-1124

law but also mirror authoritarian tactics seen in Russia, raising serious questions about Hungary's trajectory.

International indices confirm this backsliding. The Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Institute categorizes Hungary as an "electoral autocracy." Freedom House ranks Hungary as only "partly free." The European Parliament has triggered Article 7 proceedings against Hungary, citing persistent breaches of rule-of-law standards. Collectively, these indicators demonstrate that Hungary no longer functions as a liberal democracy, despite retaining formal electoral processes.

4. Geopolitical Contradictions

Hungary's foreign policy further complicates its position within NATO. Prime Minister Viktor Orbán has openly cultivated close ties with both Vladimir Putin and Xi Jinping. In 2024, Orbán hosted Xi in Budapest, presenting Hungary as China's closest ally within the EU. Simultaneously, Hungary has repeatedly blocked or delayed EU sanctions on Russia, undermining collective European responses to the war in Ukraine. This balancing act reflects Orbán's strategy of leveraging his NATO membership while hedging with authoritarian partners.

Symbolic achievements such as Hungarian astronaut Tibor Kapu's participation in a U.S.-led Axiom mission are often presented domestically as evidence of Hungary's integration with the West. Yet this symbolism contrasts sharply with the conditions on the ground, where students are denied fair access to education and civil liberties are curtailed. The juxtaposition of space exploration and domestic repression underscores the hollow nature of these symbolic gestures.

From NATO's perspective, Hungary's dual alignment creates a security dilemma. While formally committed to the alliance, its willingness to act as a spoiler in EU and NATO decision-making raises doubts about reliability. This tension is compounded by Orbán's framing of "sovereignty" as resistance to Brussels, even as his government accepts billions in Western subsidies. Hungary, in effect, positions itself as both beneficiary and adversary within the alliance framework.

5. Ethical and Strategic Implications

The contradictions explored above carry significant ethical implications. First, there is the moral contradiction of subsidizing a regime that actively undermines democracy and human rights. NATO allies, particularly the United States, invest heavily in Hungary's defense infrastructure, while Hungary simultaneously adopts policies that contradict shared values of equality, transparency, and fairness.

Financially, the dual-revenue model—NATO subsidies on the one hand, tuition extraction from international students on the other—creates a situation in which Western taxpayers are, in effect, funding Hungary twice: once through direct subsidies and again

ORCID: 0009-0005-4228-1124

through educational exploitation. This undermines trust between allies and creates the appearance of complicity in exploitative practices.

Strategically, Hungary's role as a weak link in NATO cannot be ignored. Its close ties with Russia and China introduce vulnerabilities into alliance decision-making. By allowing Hungary to free-ride on NATO resources while engaging in obstructionist tactics, the alliance risks internal fragmentation. In an era of heightened geopolitical competition, such contradictions are not merely academic—they have real consequences for collective security.

6. Policy Recommendations

To address these challenges, **NATO and the EU** must adopt a more conditional approach to Hungarian participation and funding. First, defense subsidies should be explicitly linked to benchmarks in governance, education, and human rights, and without measurable progress in these domains, continued subsidies risk perpetuating exploitation and authoritarian drift.

Second, independent oversight mechanisms should be mandated in higher education. Organizations such as the World Federation for Medical Education (WFME) and the Educational Commission for Foreign Medical Graduates (ECFMG) should be tasked with conducting audits of Hungarian medical programs. These audits should assess not only curriculum but also resource adequacy, attrition rates, and the fairness of assessment. Findings should be made public to ensure transparency.

Third, targeted sanctions should be considered against individuals responsible for systemic corruption and rights violations. The **U.S. Magnitsky Act** provides a precedent for imposing visa restrictions and asset freezes on corrupt officials. Applying similar measures within the EU context would signal that Hungary's authoritarian drift has tangible consequences.

Fourth, public advisories should be issued to students considering Hungarian medical programs. The U.S. State Department and the European Commission should warn applicants of systemic risks, including high attrition rates and discriminatory practices. Such advisories would empower students to make informed decisions and pressure universities to reform.

Finally, the EU should develop long-term benchmarks for education reform as part of its cohesion funding. By tying educational quality assurance to broader rule-of-law compliance, the EU can ensure that funds are used to strengthen rather than weaken democratic institutions.

ORCID: 0009-0005-4228-1124

August 25, 2025,

7. Conclusion

Hungary represents a microcosm of the challenges facing NATO and the EU in the 21st century. On the one hand, it is formally integrated into Western institutions; on the other hand, it undermines those very institutions through authoritarian practices, corruption, and exploitation. This duality is not sustainable. Continued unconditional subsidies risk normalizing illiberalism within the alliance.

The case of Hungary illustrates how symbolic achievements—such as space participation—can be used to mask systemic deficiencies at home. By documenting educational obstruction, democratic regression, and geopolitical contradictions, this paper demonstrates the urgent need for conditionality. NATO and the EU must move beyond symbolic gestures and enforce accountability mechanisms that align subsidies with democratic performance.

Ultimately, the question is not whether Hungary will change on its own, but whether Western institutions will demand change. The credibility of NATO and the EU depends on their willingness to uphold shared values—not only against external adversaries, but within their own ranks.

ORCID: 0009-0005-4228-1124

August 25, 2025,

References

1. Pusztai, G., Demeter-Karászi, Z., Alter, E., & Szabó, F. (2022). Administrative data analysis of student attrition in Hungarian medical training. BMC Medical Education, 22(317). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03276-z
2. Transparency International. (2024). Hungary: EU's most corrupt country two years in a row.
3. Human Rights Watch. (2024). Hungary: EU needs to protect civic space urgently.
4. AP News. Orbán dismantles NGOs with U.S. ties.
5. Guardian. Budapest Pride defies Orbán.
6. Washington Post. Autocrats cite Trump, roll back rights.
7. European Commission. (2023). Rule of Law Report: Hungary.
8. Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 2012/C 326/02.
9. Act CCIV of 2011 on National Higher Education (Hungary).
10. Act XXV of 2023 on Whistleblower Protection (Hungary).

ORCID: 0009-0005-4228-1124

August 25, 2025,